WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

	Uı	nited S	tates of America v.	ORDER OF I	DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	(Clifford	Tom Yazzie	Case Number:	CR-15-8169-PCT-SPL
				42(f), a detention hearing has b	een submitted to the Court. I conclude
	•		onvincing evidence the defendan n this case.	t is a danger to the community	and require the detention of the defendant
		•	rance of the evidence the defend this case.	ant is a serious flight risk and re	equire the detention of the defendant
			PART	I FINDINGS OF FACT	
	(1)		- ',',','	•	deral offense)(state or local offense that eral jurisdiction had existed) that is
			a crime of violence as defined i	in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).	
			an offense for which the maxim	num sentence is life imprisonme	ent or death.
			an offense for which a maximum	m term of imprisonment of ten y	vears or more is prescribed in
			a felony that was committed aft described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(ter the defendant had been con f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable stat	victed of two or more prior federal offenses e or local offenses.
				ned in section 921), or any other	session or use of a firearm or destructive er dangerous weapon, or involves a failure
	(2)		S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense ng trial for a federal, state or local		nmitted while the defendant was on release
	(3)	18 U.S convic	S.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of the control of the	not more than five years has ela m imprisonment) for the offens	apsed since the (date of e described in finding 1.
	(4)	Findin will rea not rea	gs Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish asonably assure the safety of (an butted this presumption.	a rebuttable presumption that r)other person(s) and the comm	no condition or combination of conditions unity. I further find that the defendant has
			A	Iternative Findings	
	(1)	18 U.S	S.C. 3142(e)(3): There is probable	le cause to believe that the defe	endant has committed an offense
			for which a maximum term of ir	mprisonment of ten years or mo	re is prescribed in1
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b.	
			under 18 U.S.C. 1581-1594, fo prescribed.	r which a maximum term of imp	risonment of 20 years or more is
			an offense involving a minor vio	ctim under section	. 2
	(2)	The de	efendant has not rebutted the pre	sumption established by finding	g 1 that no condition or combination of required and the safety of the community.

¹Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

 $^{{}^{2}\}text{Insert as applicable } 18\,\text{U.S.C.}\,\$\$1201,1591,2241-42,2244(a)(1),2245,2251,2251A,2252(a)(1),2252(a)(2),2252(a)(3,2252(a)(4),2260,2421,2422,2423,\text{ or }2425.$

Case 3:15-cr-08169-SPL Document 9 Filed 08/10/15 Page 2 of 3

	Alternative Findings				
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination assure the appearance of the defendant as required.	of conditions will reasonably			
(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of	others and the community.			
(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct juintimidate a prospective witness or juror).	stice) (threaten, injure, or			
(4)					
	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETEN (Check one or both, as applicable.)	TION			
(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing esta evidence as to danger that:	ablishes by clear and convincing			
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:				
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.				
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.				
\boxtimes	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.				
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.				
	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration	on and a maximum of			
	<u> </u>				
	defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Repo	ort.			
	defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Repo	ort.			

 $^{^3}$ The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. \S 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. \S 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

In addition:

The defendant submitted the issue of detention.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 10th day of August, 2015

Michelle H. Burns
United States Magistrate Judge